#### May 6, 2019

# **Uncovering non-Fermi-liquid behaviour in Hund metals**





Elias Walter LMU Munich

Katharina Stadler LMU Munich



Seung-Sup Lee LMU Munich



Andreas Weichselbaum LMU Munich, BNL

MAXIMILIANS UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 136401 (2015) arXiv:1808.09936v3 [accepted in Ann. Phys., 2019] arXiv:1708.05752v3 [accepted in Nature Comm., 2019]



### **Motivation: iron pnictides**



Discovery of iron-based high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductors in 2008: La[O<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub>]FeAs (x = 0.05–0.12) with T<sub>c</sub> = 26 K

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (11),

3296-3297 (2008)



Hideo Hosono

http://www.globalspec.com



anomalous properties also in the normal state

pairing mechanism ???

multi-band materials:
role of orbitals/bands?
role of spins and their fluctuations?

Nature Physics 7, 272–276 (2011)

### **Iron pnictides have anomalous normal-state properties**

experiments: significant mass enhancement + coherence-incoherence crossover  $\rightarrow$  strong correlations!?!



# Summary: iron pnictides in normal state are bad metals

- iron pnictides in normal state are bad metals:
  - low coherence scales  $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{FL}}$
  - large effective masses
  - small quasiparticle weight
- multi-orbital, wide-band materials: Coulomb U smaller than for single-orbital, narrow-band materials
- new ingredient: Hund's coupling J: favors alignment of spins in different orbitals
- one charge away from half-filling (Fe 3d6 for 5 d-orbitals)
- non-trivial interplay of spin and orbital degrees of freedom
- what is the role of U vs. J ?
  "Mottness vs. Hundness"
- similar issues arise for other multi-band bad-metal materials: chalcogenide superconductors, ruthenates...

## Minimal model for Hund metals: 3-band Hubbard-Hund model

3-band Hubbard model with Hund's coupling:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{\text{HHM}} &= \sum_{i} \left( -\mu \hat{N}_{i} + \hat{H}_{\text{int}} [\hat{d}_{im\sigma}^{\dagger}] \right) + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle m\sigma} t \, \hat{d}_{im\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{jm\sigma} \\ \hat{N}_{i} &= \sum_{m\sigma} \hat{d}_{im\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{im\sigma} \\ \hat{H}_{\text{int}} [\hat{d}_{im\sigma}^{\dagger}] &= \frac{3}{4} J_{H} \hat{N}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} (U - \frac{1}{2} J_{H}) \hat{N}_{i} (\hat{N}_{i} - 1) - J_{H} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i}^{2} \\ \hat{S}_{i}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m\sigma\sigma'} \hat{d}_{im\sigma}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\alpha} \hat{d}_{im\sigma} \qquad \text{Tr}[\sigma^{\alpha} \sigma^{\beta}] = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta} \end{split}$$

Werner, Gull, Troyer, Millis, PRL 2008 de' Medici, Mravlje, Georges, PRL 2011 Yin, Haule, Kotliar, PRB 2012

energy unit: t = 1

. . .

the 3 orbitals are degenerate

symmetry: U(1)<sub>ch</sub> x SU(2)<sub>sp</sub> x SU(3)<sub>orb</sub>

Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT): treat environment as self-consistent bath (assumption: local self-energy)



# **Quantum impurity solvers**

Needed: real-frequency "quantum impurity solvers" that can treat 3-band models at very low temperatures!

Impurity solvers:

- exact diagonalization
- iterative perturbation theory
- diagrammatic schemes
- interpolation schemes
- continuous-time Quantum Monte Carlo (ctQMC)
- Numerical Renormalization Group (NRG)
- Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG)
- Chebychev expansions with MPS (CheMPS)

Currently most popular: ctQMC

- + very successful in general
- increasingly costly with decreasing temperatures
- analytical continuation for real-frequency data
- sign problem for some models

#### Numerical Renormalization Group (NRG)

- + high spectral resolution at arbitrarily low energies
- + real-frequency data
- + arbitrary temperatures
- + no sign problem
- costs increase exponentially with number of bands

tremendous technical progress in recent years

- + complete many-body basis [1]
- + formulation in MPS language [2]
- + fdmNRG for highly accurate correlation functions at arbitrary temperatures [3]
- + improved treatment of hybridization function [4]
- + ...

for multi-band models

+ exploiting abelian and non-abelian symmetries:

 $U(1)_{ch} \times SU(2)_{sp} \times SU(3)_{orb}$  [5]

- + interleaved NRG for non-symmetric models
- + adaptive broadening improves spectral resolution [8]

- [1] Anders, Schiller, PRL 95 (2005), PRB 74 (2006)
- [2] Weichselbaum, Verstraete, Schollwöck, Cirac, von Delft, cond-mat/0504305 (2005); Weichselbaum, PRB,86 (2012)
- [3] Peters, Pruschke, Anders, PRB 74 (2006); Weichselbaum, von Delft, PRL 99 (2007)
- [4] Zitko, Computer Phys. Comm. 180 (2009); Zitko, Pruschke, PRB 79 (2009)
- [5] Toth, Moca, Legeza, Zarand, PRB 78 (2008); Weichselbaum, Ann. Phys. 327 (2012)
- [6] Mitchell, Galpin, Wilson-Fletcher, Logan, Bulla, PRB 89 (2014)
- [7] Stadler, Mitchell, von Delft, Weichselbaum, PRB, 93 (2016)
- [8] Lee, Weichselbaum, PRB 94 (2016).



# Outline

Introductory review: how does NRG work?

Impurity models

- Basics: spin screening in 1-channel Kondo (1CK) model

spin screening in 1-channel Anderson (1CA) model

- NFL (spin): spin overscreening in 2-channel Kondo (2CK) model

- NFL (orbital) : orbital overscreening in 3-channel Anderson-Hund (3AH) model

orbital overscreening in 3-channel Kondo-Hund (3KH) model

Back to DMRG...









### NRG: logarithmic discretization, Wilson chain



Diagonalize chain iteratively, discard high-energy states

## **NRG: iterative diagonalization**



complete basis of exact many-body eigenstates of H

[Wilson, 1975]

Dimension of Hilbert space grows as 2<sup>n</sup>

Truncation criterion needed!



## NRG: energy truncation, complete many-body basis

#### [Wilson, 1975]

truncate, and build complete many-body basis from discarded states, keeping track of degeneracies



# **NRG: energy level flow diagram**

[Wilson, 1975] level splitting at iteration n:  $\omega_n \simeq \Lambda^{-n/2} \sim \frac{1}{\text{effective system size}}$ to maintain level splitting  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , rescale eigenenergies:  $\mathcal{E}^n_s\equiv E^n_s/\omega_n=\Lambda^{n/2}E^n_s$ in rescaled units, each new site perturbs previous spectrum by  $\, \sim \Lambda^{-1/2}$  $\Lambda^{-1/2}$  $\Lambda^{-1/2}$  $\Lambda^{-1/2}$ energy level flow diagram add site add site add site for 1-channel Kondo model (q,s)rescaled eigenenergies rescale rescale rescale (0, 0)by  $\Lambda^{1/2}$ by  $\Lambda^{1/2}$ by  $\Lambda^{1/2}$  $E_s^n/\omega_n$ 1.5truncate trun<mark>ca</mark>te truncate  $\overset{s}{\overset{s}{\mathcal{S}}}^{s}$  $T_K$  $10^{-6}$  $10^{-4}$  $10^{-2}$  $10^{0}$  $\mathcal{E}_s^n$  $\mathcal{E}_s^{n+2}$  $\mathcal{E}_s^{n+3}$  $\mathcal{E}_s^{n+1}$  $\omega_n$ level spacing large small system system

### **Basics: 1-channel Kondo model**



is 'spin current' (conduction band spin at impurity site)

- spectrum changes qualitatively when level spacing  $\omega_n$  drops below 'Kondo temperature,  $T_K$
- high energies ( $\omega_n \gg T_{\rm K}$ ): local moment regime
- low energies  $(\omega_n \ll T_{\rm K})$ : Fermi liquid regime
- ground state: impurity spin is screened by bath spin, forming spin singlet,  $\langle \mathbf{S}_{imp} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{band} \rangle = 0$

$$\chi_{\rm sp}^{\rm imp}(\omega) \propto \langle \mathbf{S} || \mathbf{S} \rangle_{\omega}$$
$$\propto \int \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} \langle \mathbf{S}(t) \mathbf{S}(0) \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{s,s'} \left| \langle s' | \mathbf{S} | s \rangle \right|^2 \frac{e^{-\beta E_s}}{Z} \delta(\omega - E'_s + E_s) \rangle$$

Lehmann representation, computable using complete basis

### **Basics: 1-channel Kondo vs. 1-channel Anderson model**





### **Basics: 1-channel Anderson model - local spectral function**





### NFL (spin): 1-channel Kondo vs. 2-channel Kondo model



rescaled eigenenergies

Im[spin susceptibility]

 $\chi^{\rm imp}_{\rm sp}$ 

 $10^{-6}$ 

 $E/\omega_n$ 



 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

 $\omega$ 



## NFL (orbital): 3-channel Anderson-Hund model





symmetry: U(1)<sub>ch</sub> x SU(2)<sub>sp</sub> x SU(3)<sub>orb</sub> quantum numbers:  $(q, S, \lambda)$ impurity:  $(S, \lambda)_{imp} = (1, \square)$  $(-2, 0, \bullet)$  fully screened  $(+1, \frac{3}{2}, \bullet)$  orbital singlet  $(0, 1, \square)$  free impurity

 $n_d = 2$ 

Spin and orbital susceptibilities:

 $\chi_{\rm sp}(\omega) = \operatorname{Im}\langle \mathbf{S} || \mathbf{S} \rangle_{\omega} \qquad S^{\alpha} = d^{\dagger}_{\sigma m} \sigma^{\alpha}_{\sigma \sigma'} d_{\sigma' m}$ Pauli matrices  $\chi_{\rm orb}(\omega) = \operatorname{Im}\langle \mathbf{T} || \mathbf{T} \rangle_{\omega} \qquad T^{a} = d^{\dagger}_{\sigma m} \tau^{a}_{mm'} d_{\sigma m'}$ Gell-Mann matrices  $\omega^{1} \text{ behavior at low energies: Fermi liquid}$ 

spin-orbital separation:

$$T_{\rm sp} < T_{\rm orb}$$

v

# NFL (orbital): 3-channel Kondo-Hund model

$$H_{3\text{KH}} = \sum_{k\sigma} \sum_{m=1,2,3} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma m}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma m} + J_0 \,\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{sp}} + K_0 \,\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{orb}} + I_0 \,\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{sp-orb}} \cdot \mathbf{T}.$$

$$J_{\rm sp}^{\alpha} = \sum_{kk'} c_{k\sigma m}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\alpha} c_{k'\sigma'm}$$

spin current

 $J^{a}_{\rm orb} = \sum_{kk'} c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma m} \tau^{a}_{mm'} c_{k'\sigma m'}$  orbital current

$$J^{a}_{\text{sp-orb}} = \sum_{kk'} c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma m} \sigma^{\alpha}_{\sigma\sigma'} \tau^{a}_{mm'} c_{k'\sigma'm'}$$
  
mixed current

Aron, Kotliar, PRL 91, 041110 (2015)

weak-coupling RG flow for  $\ I_0=0$ 



NFL fixed point can be reach by choosing

 $I_0=0, J_0\ll K_0$  then  $T_{\rm sp}\ll\ll T_{
m orb}$ 

### NFL (orbital): 3-channel Kondo-Hund model



NFL power laws can be explained using CFT [in spirit of Affleck & Ludwig, 1990-1992, using  $U(1) \times SU(2)_3 \times SU(3)_2$  Sugawara construction]



J, K, I

 $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}^{\mathrm{imp}} \sim \langle \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \rangle_{\omega} \mapsto \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{\mathrm{orb}} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{\mathrm{orb}} \rangle_{\omega} \sim \omega^{2\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{orb}}-1} \simeq \omega^{4/5}$  $\chi_{\rm sp}^{\rm imp} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} (\int \mathrm{d}t \, \mathbf{S} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{\rm sp})^2 \rangle_{\omega} \sim \omega^{2\widetilde{\Delta}_{\rm sp}-3} \simeq \omega^{-6/5}$ 

### **Summary**

- Minimal 3-band models for Hund metals show spin-orbital separation,
- involving orbital overscreening, leading to non-Fermi-liquid behavior.
- In Anderson-Hund model, the actual NFL fixed point (J=K=0) is not reachable;
- but its properties can be studied using the Kondo-Hund model, where J, K, I can be tuned independently.
- Beatiful NFL power laws were found by NRG and explained by CFT.

# Outlook

NRG+DMFT is a highly competitive, powerful, real-frequency, low-energy method !!

- Models without orbital degeneracy: orbital selective Mott transition (feasible, in progress)
- Models with off-diagonal hybridization matrix: spin-orbit scattering (feasible, in progress)
- Real materials (feasible, for three-band models)
- Main limitation: currently feasible for at most three spin-full bands